Proceedings of the
Nineteenth Annual Symposium of the
Pattern Recognition Association of South Africa

27-28 November 2008
Cape Town, South Africa
# Table of Contents

## Keynote and plenary talks

The difference that South Africa has made to Speaker Recognition
*David van Leeuwen*  
1

The Careful Listener: Speech Processing in Meetings
*Thomas Hain*  
1

## Full papers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heuristics for State Splitting in Hidden Markov Models</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Benjamin Murrell and Jules Raymond Tapamo</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary Naïve Bayesian classifiers for correlated Gaussian features:</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A theoretical analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ewald van Dyk and Etienne Barnard</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An Introduction to Diffusion Maps</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>J. de la Porte, B. M. Herbst, W. Hereman, and S. J. van der Walt</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensemble Feature Selection for Hyperspectral Imagery</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gidudu, A., Abe, B. and Marwala, T.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The hitchhiker's guide to the particle filter</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>McElory Hoffmann, Karin Hunter, and Ben Herbst</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Assessment of Missing Data Imputation Models</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dan Golding and Tshilidzi Marwala</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A note on the separability index</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Linda Mthembu and Tshilidzi Marwala</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extending DTGologto Deal with POMDPs</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gavin Reins, Alexander Ferrein, and Etienne van der Poel</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic cues identifying phonetic transitions for speech segmentation</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. R. van Niekerk and E. Barnard</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photometric modelling of real-world objects</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>John Morkel and Fred Nicolls</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiments in automatic assessment of oral proficiency and listening comprehension for bilingual South African speakers</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Febe de Wet, Pieter Müller, Christa van der Walt, and Thomas Niesler</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid 3D Measurement and Influences on Precision Using Digital Video Cameras</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Willie van der Merwe and Kristiaan Schreve</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating Topic Models with Stability</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alia de Waal and Etienne Barnard</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Action Classification using the Average of Pose Changes
_Janto F. Dreijer and Ben M. Herbst_

Real-time surface tracking with uncoded structured light
_Willie Brink_

Fiducial-based monocular 3D displacement measurement of breakwater
armour unit models
_R. Vieira, F. van den Bergh, and B. J. van Wyk_

Porting A Spoken Language Identification SYSTEM to a new environment
_Marius Peché, Marelie Davel, and Etienne Barnard_

Relationship between Structural Diversity and Performance of Multiple
Classifiers for Decision Support
_R. Musehane, F. A. Netshiongolwe, L. Masisi, F. V. Netwamondo, and T._
_Marwala_

A channel normalization for speech recognition in mismatched conditions
_Neil Kleynhans and Etienne Barnard_

3D Phase Unwrapping of DENSE MRI Images Using Region Merging
_Joash N. Ongori, Ernesta M. Meintjes, and Bruce S. Spottiswoode_

Fast Calculation of Digitally Reconstructed Radiographs using Light Fields
_Cobus Carstens and Neil Muller_

Traffic sign detection and classification using colour and shape cues
_F. P. Senekal_

Hough Transform Tuned Bayesian Classifier for Overhead Power Line
Inspection
_Z. R. S. Gaspar, Shenzhi Du, and B. J. van Wyk_

Alignment invariant image comparison implemented on the GPU
_Hans Roos, Yuko Roodt, and Willem A. Clarke_

Data requirements for speaker independent acoustic models
_Jacob A. C. Badenhorst and Marelie Davel_

Acoustic analysis of diphthongs in Standard South African English
_Olga Martirosian and Marelie Davel_

The origin of the Afrikaans pronunciation: a comparison to west Germanic
languages and Dutch dialects
_Wilbert Heeringa and Febe de Wet_

Speect: a multilingual text-to-speech system
_J. A. Louw_

Homophone Disambiguation in Afrikaans
_Hendrik J. Groenewald and Marissa van Rooyen_
Speect: a multilingual text-to-speech system

J.A. Louw

Human Language Technologies Research Group
Meraka Institute, Pretoria, South Africa
jalouw@csir.co.za

Abstract
This paper introduces a new multilingual text-to-speech system, which we call Speect (Speech synthesis with extensible architecture), aiming to address the shortcomings of using Festival as a research system and Flite as a deployment system in a multilingual development environment. Speect is implemented in C with a modular object oriented approach and a plugin architecture, aiming to separate the linguistic and acoustic dependencies from the run-time environment. A scripting language interface is provided for research and rapid development of new languages and voices. This paper discusses the motivation for a new text-to-speech system as well as the design architecture and implementation of the system. We also discuss what is still required in the development to make the new system a viable alternative to the Festival - Flite tool-chain.

1. Introduction
Text-to-speech (TTS) synthesis introduces a multitude of communication possibilities, which are especially important in developing countries for cheap and effective conveyance of information. Multilingual text-to-speech is especially important in countries with more than one official language as is the case in South Africa. Multilingual text-to-speech, as used in this paper, refers to simple multilingual speech synthesis [1] where language switching is usually accompanied by voice switching. There are many high-quality commercial text-to-speech systems available for the major spoken languages, but not so for languages with a small geographical distribution or a small number of speakers relative to the major languages. Development of these technologies is a daunting task, and in multilingual environments even more so.

Text-to-speech synthesis is the automated process of mapping a textual representation of an utterance into a sequence of numbers representing the samples of synthesized speech [2]. This conversion is achieved in two stages as depicted in figure 1.

- **Natural Language Processing (NLP)**: Converting the textual representation of an utterance into symbolic linguistic units.
- **Digital Signal Processing (DSP)**: Mapping the symbolic linguistic units into samples of synthesized speech.

The Natural Language Processing stage consists of the following major modules:

- **Text pre-processing**: involves the transformation of the textual input into a format suitable for the phonetization module. The specifics of this task is dependent on the type of textual input given to the system and includes utterance chunking and text normalization.
  - The normalized text of the pre-processing module is converted into a phonetic representation by the **phonetisation** block.
  - **Prosody generation** involves the generation of intonation and duration targets through some form of prosody models.

The data generated by the NLP stage represents the symbolic linguistic units, which are then converted into synthetic speech by the Digital Signal Processing stage. The DSP stage can be realized by means of unit selection [3], statistical parametric synthesis [4], formant synthesis [5], or some other type of synthesizer technology. Each of the modules in the two stages adds some type of information to the initial given utterance which enables the final module, waveform generation, to generate synthetic speech based on this information.

The NLP stage is language dependent, whereas the DSP stage is dependent on the synthesizer technology of the implemented synthetic voice. Therefore, a multilingual text-to-speech system must be able to apply different NLP and DSP modules for different synthetic voices based on the language and synthesizer technology of the specific voice.

The next section discusses the motivation behind the need for a new speech synthesizer, followed by the design and implementation. We then conclude with a discussion.

2. Motivation

Over the last decade, the Festival speech synthesis system [6] has become the de facto standard free toolkit for speech synthesis research [7]. Festival provides a modular architecture whereby it is possible to modify each of the sub-tasks involved in the NLP and DSP stages in a text-to-speech conver-
sion process. Festival is implemented in two languages, C++ and Scheme (a lisp dialect), providing an integrated interpreted language for run-time manipulation. Festival, together with the Festvox project [8], aims to make the building of text-to-speech voices a structured and well defined task.

While being a fine example of a research system there are drawbacks to using Festival as a component within a speech enabled technology solution such as an integrated voice response (IVR). Festival has a large memory footprint and is relatively slow as a result of having a self contained interpreted language.

A Festival compatible alternative is the Flite [9] synthesis engine, and while having a similar modular architecture and utterance structure representation, it provides improvements with regards to [9]:

- speed,
- portability,
- maintenance,
- code size,
- data size, and
- thread safety.

Flite was written in ANSI C and has no interpreted language. In Festival a synthetic voice is loaded into internal data structures into memory, while in Flite all voice data is represented in C code. Therefore one still needs to use Festival and the Festvox toolkit for research and development of new voices, and then convert these voices with appropriate scripts into a Flite compatible version. The process of building a new voice in a new language (a language where the NLP modules do not exist in either Festival or Flite) will require one to first develop the NLP modules in C++ and/or Scheme in Festival and then rewrite these modules in C code for use in Flite. This is time consuming and requires expert knowledge of the Festival and Flite code base.

As a result of our experience with multilingual text-to-speech development we decided to design and implement a new text-to-speech system that combines the best features of the existing Festival and Flite synthesis engines while also addressing the shortcomings of these systems with regards to our requirements. The most important requirements for the new system, which we call Speect (Speech synthesis with extensible architecture), can be summarized as follows:

- A single synthesis engine: Having one synthesis engine reduces the code base and will eliminate any discrepancies between a development system and deployment system. This also leads to less maintenance.
- Extensible architecture: It should be easy to extend and modify the system with regards to the NLP as well as DSP stages of the text-to-speech conversion process.

3. Design

A synthetic voice in a TTS system can be seen as a combination of two parts:

- **linguistic component**: providing language models and data for the NLP stage of the synthesis process.
- **acoustic component**: the acoustic models and data required by the DSP stage for waveform generation.

![Figure 2: An example representation of an utterance structure using a heterogeneous relation graph.](image)

The linguistic component is language dependent and can be shared by voices of the same language while the acoustic component is unique to a specific voice. Speect aims to provide control of the synthesis process and its design is intended to be independent of the underlying linguistic or acoustic models and data. Speect is not meant to replace speech processing tool-kits, the linguistic and acoustic models and data still need to be generated by packages such as Edinburgh Speech Tools [10], Festvox and the Speech Signal Processing Toolkit [11].

To allow existing linguistic and acoustic Festival models and data to be reused, the internal representation of an utterance follows the same formalism as used in Festival and Flite. The utterance structure is represented internally as a Heterogeneous Relation Graph (HRG) [12], which consists of a set of relations, where each relation contains some items (the items need not be unique to a relation). The relations represent structures such as words, syllables, phonemes or even duration targets and the items are the content of these structures. Figure 2 shows an example representation of an utterance structure using a HRG with three relations and their items.

The individual NLP and DSP modules of figure 1 are called utterance processors. Utterance processors create relations in the utterance structure and add information (items with features) to the relations based on the linguistic and acoustics models and data. For example in figure 2 the syllable relation of the utterance has three items, with syllable stress as a feature of the items.

Speect has an object oriented design which allows the same modular approach to text-to-speech as Festival and Flite. A plugin architecture is used for the utterance processors, thereby restricting the language dependencies within the data and resources of the specific voice implementation and not in the synthesis platform. This plugin architecture allows different implementations of the same voice and/or language to be used during run-time, as the voice and language specifications load the required plugins.

4. Implementation

Speect is implemented in ANSI C to provide maximum portability and speed. The implementation of an object oriented paradigm in C requires more discipline from the programmer, but allows for code reuse and a modular design. Figure 3 shows the implementation architecture of Speect.
Figure 3: The Speect architecture.

The Speect architecture is divided into 4 major sections

- The **base system** provides a library of basic functions that are used by the upper levels of the system.
  - *object system*: the objects system implements an object-oriented paradigm in C, whereby an object is described by two structures, one for its data members and one for its methods. The object system provides basic encapsulation, polymorphism, and inheritance.
  - *math routines*: basic mathematical routines.
  - *utility functions*: memory allocation and logging utilities.
  - *string functions*: basic string functions and UTF 8 support.
  - *basic containers*: doubly-linked lists and a hash table as basic data containers.

- **Speech and Data Objects** offer higher level objects specific to speech synthesis and data handling.
  - *acoustic objects*: provides interfaces to waveforms, data tracks, etc. Interfaces are implemented by plugins, therefore removing data dependencies from the synthesis system.
  - *linguistic objects*: provides interfaces to phonset, lexicon, etc. Plugins implement the linguistic interfaces.
  - *HRG objects*: the utterance structure implementation. Follows the implementation of Festival and Flite for representing utterances.
  - *data sources*: objects and interfaces for reading and writing data from/to files and memory. An Extensible Binary Meta Language [13] protocol is implemented as the standard format for reading/writing to files.
  - *data containers*: Abstract objects that encapsulate the use of the base system containers.
  - *data utilities*: the basic data object used in the HRG system. All objects that inherit from this object can be used as a feature in the utterance structure.

- **Synthesis Control** is provides the top level control of voices.
  - *plugin manager*: handles requests for specific plugin implementations. Dynamically loads and unloads plugins as required by the system.
  - *voice manager*: loads and unloads voices and handles synthesis requests.

- **Scripting language interface** connects interpreted scripting languages to the Speect library.
  - *wrapper functions*: the connection between the Speect library and scripting languages through SWIG (Simplified Wrapper and Interface Generator) [14].

The scripting language interface enables one to use Speect in an interpreted language setting, therefore speeding up research and development of new voices and languages. The speed of the Speect library is not influenced by the scripting language as it is external to the library implementation.

The work-flow of Speect is as follows: a synthesis request must be accompanied by the desired voice. The voice specification, which consists of a list of linguistic and acoustic utterance processors and associated data, is loaded by the *voice manager*. The desired utterance processor plugins are loaded dynamically by the *plugin manager* on request from the voice manager. The voice manager then proceeds to execute each of the utterance processors on the textual utterance representation, building an utterance structure. The utterance structure is synthesized and the synthetic speech returned.

5. Discussion

The Festival speech synthesis system provides a research and development platform for building synthetic voices in different languages. However, it is challenging to use in a real-world deployment environment because of its size and speed. Flite aims to correct these deficiencies with a much smaller and more efficient implementation, but lacks the development environment and suffers from language dependencies in the data and resources. Therefore, to develop synthetic voices for deployment one needs to create the voice in Festival and
convert it to a Flite suitable format. This is a complicated task, especially for new languages and requires extensive knowledge of the Festival and Flite code base.

Speect aims to be an alternative to the Festival - Flite tool-chain by providing a single speech synthesis engine for research, development and deployment in multilingual environments. This is achieved by a modular object oriented design with a plugin architecture, thereby separating the synthesis engine from the linguistic and acoustic dependencies. The improvements of the proposed Speect synthesis system with regards to the Festival - Flite tool-chain can be summarized as follows:

- The research, development and deployment cycle is done with one synthesis engine, reducing the size of the code base as well as the required maintenance. Therefore, implementation of new NLP or DSP plugins requires expert knowledge of just one synthesis engine.
- Run-time performance comparable with that of Flite, while retaining the research and development advantages of the Festival design, without the speed and size penalties associated with the integrated interpreted languages because of the separation of the core library and the interpreted language.
- Footprint size comparable to Flite due to plugin architecture, therefore only the required modules for a particular voice are loaded.

The modular object oriented design combined with the SWIG interface enables the use of the Speect library through native calls from multiple scripting languages, and other languages such as Java, C#, Scheme and Ocaml, while encapsulating the underlying implementation through the use of the plugin architecture.

The Speect system has been completed up to a stage where utterance processor plugins can be loaded and run on basic input text and a concatenative unit selection method as described in [7], but to be a viable alternative to the current system the following still needs to be addressed:

- SWIG interface files for Python,
- Python scripts for the creation of unit selection voices,
- NLP modules for different languages,
- complete documentation on the implementation,
- manual for writing and extending plugins,
- documentation for building voices, and
- scripts for converting existing Festival voices into a Speect format.
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